


Any Memes Necessary

introduction to memes and qualia



an incomplete postmodern sortie

Any Memes Necessary

introduction to memes and qualia



Copyright 2025

Incomplete Pataphysic Sorties

⁴ In accord with Heisenberg, Gödel, Postmodernism's "Incompleteness", and the tenor of Collège de 'Pataphysique in Paris: pataphysical documents may have no formal beginnings or endings, elliptical or second degree logic, meanings often transmitted only in overtones or subtexts - and per Jarry's Dictum, reader/user input is often required to imagine more precise or informative definitions for superpositional, or otherwise inherently inexplicit, vocabulary and syntax.



is often quickly ostracized by contemporary canons of Scientism. But, *ceteris paribus*, these invented descriptors can be very useful in conceptualizing and understanding, even if they're external to the palings of science. Memes, qualia and ids are virtual analogues, elements of holophysics. They don't exist in the same way that leptons, photons, and hydrogen exist. And aside from peristatistics, there's no way they can be deeply investigated, or even properly addressed, by science or scientists.

Though Baudrillard was clear in his paranoid warnings about holophysical generic signifiers fostering the development of dystopian simulacra, the vicarial ontology of

or that **Wells Fargo agents had cheated him** out of a mine he owned several years prior to that. So with a **shotgun, and a flour sack** with eye holes pulled over his head, he became the infamous California **highwayman-poet**, specializing exclusively in Wells Fargo stagecoaches and their strongboxes. In August of 1877, at a successful holdup near Duncan Mills, he left his famous explanatory poem for the **Wells Fargo bankers**, which he signed, calling himself **Black Bart**: “**T’ve labored long and hard for bread; for honor and for riches. ...Too long you’ve tread upon my corns; you fine-haired sons of bitches.**”

Bowles’ contemporary, Charles Peirce (remembered as the father of Pragmatism) was a fellow of the erudite **William James crowd at Harvard**. He invented and named the modern science of *Semiotics*: the study of signs, and signals, and referential meanings. As part of that effort Peirce coined the cognitive psychology term *quale* in 1866 (from the Latin root for qual-ity.)

Johnson, however, doesn’t really describe or explain her own meaning. It’s tenuously engaging, but vacantly non-descript in that regard. It was that consideration of **Picasso’s *Demoiselles d’Avignon*** as a perspectival **Rorschach** test that got her to start thinking about her bandied didactic conundrum regarding whether non-descript (or non-referential) meaning per-se is more of a **meme** or more of an ablated **quale**.

Peirce’s quales, or more properly qualia, are the most basic sentiences or experiences possible, Johnson says: like red, hard, cold, difficult, and salty, etc. Neuro-psychologists have called **qualia** the **alphabet of consciousness**. They’re the **leptons** of awareness, the **smallest single chunk** of sentient-awareness one can detect. The awareness of **red per-se** is a **quale**. Conscious awareness of the quale red is integral to all possible ways of **consciously** experiencing or conceiving redness.



else, or his example for the parsing of memes and qualia. Consider the phrase: *is often quickly ostracized by contemporary canons of Scientism*. Johnson's analysis starts with the word *often* - it's a *quale*; a solitary single quality, which has no component parts. It's a binary *quale*: i.e. a given amenable event, in a given set of circumstances, is either *often* or else it's *not-often*. The idea or concept of "*often*" is leptonic; it's a *quale* - indivisible. It's a letter of the most basic kind in the alphabet of conceptualization. Each of the other words (*quickly*, *ostracized*, and *by*, etc.) is also a *quale*. But all combinations such as *quickly ostracized*, or *contemporary canons*, etc. are memes; meaningful multipartite clusters of *qualia*. The mind of genus homo will, if

Evolutionary biologist Richard Dawkins coined the popular word *meme* in 1976. It essentially refers to a packaged unit of meaning which can be transmitted from person to person. To continue the particle-physics metaphor: **qualia are** the atoms, the indivisible smallest particular chunks of conscious awareness; and **memes are** the complex molecules of meanings which are ultimately composed of clustered quales.

The Renaissance inherited strong linear thinking, syllogistic logic, contrapposto, and single-point mathematical perspective from long previous Classical times. Picasso blew that all away in *Demoiselles*. There is no trace of contrapposto, or any of the Greeks' linearly isomorphic direct mapping whatsoever between Picasso's referential work and the group of ladies it ~~represents~~. That painting is a second-degree permissive metaphor.
references

Expanding on the vicarial ontology of qualia, Johnson explains how they're fundamentally metaphoric in nature, allowing consciousness to reference the external world; and how it's not theoretically possible for the subject of a metaphor, a word, or any other kind of referential symbol to ever conflate in a perfect linear way with its own object.

Never a perfect match; and sometimes (particularly in postmodern art and literature) a relationship between the subject and object of a metaphor or other symbol may be so obscure as to not be initially obvious at all. Or it might even be designed with random qualia, or a permanent vacancy in part, or even all, of the meaning.

Pataphysics is about half-addressed allegories, augmenting adjectives, generic signifiers, and deliberately focusing on exaggerated advantages in the fact that all words, **metaphors**, and other **symbolic** or referential communication can, at best, be only fuzzy representations. They can never amount to more than some significant flavor or degree of allegory or metaphor, which will remain in an unresolved elaboration of not only the underlying **structuralist** perspectivals, but



earthshaking like Ramanujan's mathematical insights were - and it feels somewhat important. People don't take much conscious notice of this instinctual experience, she says - due to the left-brain's **despotic** linear insistence on having names, categories, and plenary verbal descriptions for anything which is to be consciously contemplated.

Studies now show that a significant percentage of modern populations are **overly-verbalized** people who do confuse meaning per-se with fallow tranches of verbal description, and who have trouble conceptualizing fuzzy, inexplicable, or **nameless**

AFTERWORD

or at any rate, **raw consciousness**, or pure awareness per se is not the same thing as thinking. She says one popular theory about mind argues that the process we recognize as “thought” is completely dependent on the words and linguistic structures we use, the meanings they represent, and what concepts those linguistics allow us to compose. (incipient Structuralism)

Psychologist Julian Jaynes’ seminal book on **bicameralism**, **modern self-recognition**, and **self-identity** documents how in Iliadic times, three millennia ago, the Greeks’ **thinking processes** were externally focused, and people did not have the modern sense of inner, conscious self-reflection that we now associate with the “mind”.

When **mental evolution** suddenly created a need for more sophisticated self-referential concepts, the Greeks detoured or repurposed traditional words referring to the body and its functions, creating new **words to express** new kinds of **inner-reflective concepts** about mind and self-consciousness.

For example, the Greeks took the word *pneu*, meaning “breath,” (per our pneumatics and pneumonia); and modified it with a new intangible meaning. Their newly-invented word or conceptualization of *pneuma* refers to their new idea of a “spirit” – a concept Jaynes explains as unavailable to pre-Iliadic Greek thinking; and **absent in pre-Iliadic writing**.


In the mid 1800s Harvard’s **William James**, the **noted** father of modern psychology, invented a need for modern people to have new words, such as *quale* and *meme* in order for the newly-observant **empirical** culture to conceptualize the basic mental realities of conceptualization itself. And once

... acta non verba - cogito ergo sum ...

In Lorem Ipsum Veritas

**Investments in the Personal Isomorphism
and the reclamation of solipsism as a strategy**

HAVE YOU SEEN ME?



Documented evidence suggests that this community has been infiltrated by techno-barbarians, an unusual and dangerous group of mutant hominids. Their bicameral nervous system inclines them on the one hand to affection, aggression, spontaneity, a rough-hewn aesthetic, and an interest in humane affairs. On the other hand their unusual cortical structures allow them great facility with abstractions, and an amazingly high degree of technical competence. With advanced genetics originally adapted for such things as gang-hunting mastodons, these bright and often cuddly informavores can now be found operating technical projects which are haphazardly redesigning the basic structures of the biosphere. If you encounter techno-barbarians, or find their relics in your vicinity: DO NOT ATTEMPT TO APPREHEND THEM. DO NOT ATTEMPT TO UNDERSTAND THEM – PLEASE CONTACT THE PROPER AUTHORITIES IMMEDIATELY.

1-800-555-1212

*Gislebertus
de fecht*

be

Handwritten notes and scribbles at the top of the page, including the number "114" and some illegible markings.

AND INTERNATIONAL

1997

Faded, illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

PATAPHY.COM

Faded, illegible text at the bottom of the page, possibly bleed-through.